COMMENTS FROM THE EUROPEAN UNION REGARDING NOTIFICATION

G/TBT/N/RUS/51
Draft decision of The Board of the Eurasian Economic Union "On the establishment of the rules of conducting technical tests of medical devices"

G/TBT/N/RUS/52
Draft decision of The Board of the Eurasian Economic Union "On the rules of registration and of the safety, quality, and efficiency inspection of medical devices"

G/TBT/N/RUS/53
Draft decision of The Board of the Eurasian Economic Union "On the establishment of the common requirements for safety and efficiency of medical devices, for their labelling requirements and operational documentation for them"

G/TBT/N/RUS/55
Draft decision of The Board of the Eurasian Economic Union "On the special production mark of medical devices on the market of the Eurasian Economic Union"

The European Union (EU) would like to thank the Russian authorities for notifying and providing the opportunity to comment on the four above mentioned notified drafts regarding medical devices notified on 10 and 11 May 2016.

The EU welcomes and acknowledges the effort of the Russian authorities regarding common rules in the field of medical devices. In this regard the EU would like to share with the Russian authorities its views on some issues of the notified drafts.

The EU noted that, while the drafts were notified on 10 and 11 May 2016, in all four notification forms the proposed date of adoption and final date for comments are both 5 July 2016. In this respect the EU would like to recall that according to Article 2.9.4 of the TBT Agreement Members shall "*allow reasonable time for other Members to make comments in writing, discuss these comments upon request, and take these written comments and the results of these discussions into account*". Furthermore, the EU would like to underline that according to recommendation G/TBT/1/Rev.12 of 21 January 2015 of the TBT Committee the normal time limit for comments on notifications should be at least 60 days. Two of the notifications, G/TBT/N/RUS/53 and G/TBT/N/RUS/55, were notified under the urgency procedure of Article 2.10.1 of the TBT Agreement. Nevertheless, they also contain the same dates as the other two notifications. The EU would appreciate receiving further clarification on these issues.

1. G/TBT/N/RUS/51 - *Draft decision of The Board of the Eurasian Economic Union "On the establishment of the rules of conducting technical tests of medical devices*"

The EU understands that the notified draft establishes a long review time of dossiers presented in the framework of technical testing for medical devices as part of their "*expert evaluation*". As, in the EU's view, this would not allow the market to adequately keep up with the pace of innovation, the EU kindly invites the Russian authorities to explain why they consider this long review time as satisfactory and necessary. In this regard, the EU would like to mention that the timeline for expert evaluations in the EU is normally between 30 and 60 days, whereas the notified draft seems to establish a timeline of over 300 days.

2. G/TBT/N/RUS/52 - *Draft decision of The Board of the Eurasian Economic Union "On the rules of registration and of the safety, quality, and efficiency inspection of medical devices"*

The EU notes that the proposed date of adoption of the notified draft rules is 5 July and the proposed date of entry into force is 1 January 2017. In this respect, the EU would like to recall that adequate transition times, in particular for registration procedures, are necessary to ensure that access to market is not disrupted. Similar measures of major jurisdictions generally provide transition periods of 3 to 5 years. This would ensure a viable transition for the medical device sector, both because of the technical complexity involved in regulating the sector and the large number of medical devices involved.

Furthermore, the EU would like to point out that the use and recognition of international standards (especially ISO standards) and best practices (notably for the recognition of clinical information), specified in a number of technical guidance documents of GHTF/IMDRF[1], are essential for market access for medical technologies around the world. In this respect, the EU would also like to refer to Article 2.4 of the TBT Agreement which states that "*[w]here technical regulations are required and relevant international standards exist or their completion is imminent, Members shall use them, or the relevant parts of them, as a basis for their technical regulations except when such international standards or relevant parts would be an ineffective or inappropriate means for the fulfilment of the legitimate objectives pursued, for instance because of fundamental climatic or geographical factors or fundamental technological problems*".

[1] GHTF: Global Harmonization Task Force / IMDRF: International Medical Device Regulators Forum

3. G/TBT/N/RUS/53 - *Draft decision of The Board of the Eurasian Economic Union "On the establishment of the common requirements for safety and efficiency of medical devices, for their labelling requirements and operational documentation for them"*

According to the EU's understanding of the notified draft, it seems that all the relevant information regarding "*safety and efficiency*" of a medical device has to be put on the label of that medical device. The EU would be grateful for clarification regarding the information to be included in the "*labelling"* and the information that has to be included in the *"operational documentation"* according to the notified draft. The EU would like to inform the Russian authorities that the EU rules on medical devices establish a clear distinction between the information to be put on the device itself, the information to be put on the label and the information to be included in the instructions for use (see *e.g.* Council Directive 93/42/EEC of 14 July 1993 concerning medical devices, OJ L 169, 12.7.1993, p. 1-43).

4. G/TBT/N/RUS/55 - "On the special production mark of medical devices on the market of the Eurasian Economic Union"

The EU understands that in addition to the registration procedures for medical devices in the framework of the Eurasian Economic Union (EEU), the "recognition by expert reports" is required for each individual EEU Member. The EU would appreciate clarification on whether it has been envisaged that decisions of the Board of the EEU regarding medical devices registered under the new rules could be fully and directly applicable (and enforceable) in all Members of the EEU without such additional "recognition by expert reports"?

The EU would be grateful to receive a response to its questions and to have its comments taken into account.

COMMENTS FROM THE EUROPEAN UNION REGARDING NOTIFICATION

G/TBT/N/RUS/51
Draft decision of The Board of the Eurasian Economic Union "On the establishment of the rules of conducting technical tests of medical devices"

G/TBT/N/RUS/52
Draft decision of The Board of the Eurasian Economic Union "On the rules of registration and of the safety, quality, and efficiency inspection of medical devices"

G/TBT/N/RUS/53
Draft decision of The Board of the Eurasian Economic Union "On the establishment of the common requirements for safety and efficiency of medical devices, for their labelling requirements and operational documentation for them"

G/TBT/N/RUS/55
Draft decision of The Board of the Eurasian Economic Union "On the special production mark of medical devices on the market of the Eurasian Economic Union"

The European Union (EU) would like to thank the Russian authorities for notifying and providing the opportunity to comment on the four above mentioned notified drafts regarding medical devices notified on 10 and 11 May 2016.

The EU welcomes and acknowledges the effort of the Russian authorities regarding common rules in the field of medical devices. In this regard the EU would like to share with the Russian authorities its views on some issues of the notified drafts.

The EU noted that, while the drafts were notified on 10 and 11 May 2016, in all four notification forms the proposed date of adoption and final date for comments are both 5 July 2016. In this respect the EU would like to recall that according to Article 2.9.4 of the TBT Agreement Members shall "*allow reasonable time for other Members to make comments in writing, discuss these comments upon request, and take these written comments and the results of these discussions into account*". Furthermore, the EU would like to underline that according to recommendation G/TBT/1/Rev.12 of 21 January 2015 of the TBT Committee the normal time limit for comments on notifications should be at least 60 days. Two of the notifications, G/TBT/N/RUS/53 and G/TBT/N/RUS/55, were notified under the urgency procedure of Article 2.10.1 of the TBT Agreement. Nevertheless, they also contain the same dates as the other two notifications. The EU would appreciate receiving further clarification on these issues.

1. G/TBT/N/RUS/51 - *Draft decision of The Board of the Eurasian Economic Union "On the establishment of the rules of conducting technical tests of medical devices*"

The EU understands that the notified draft establishes a long review time of dossiers presented in the framework of technical testing for medical devices as part of their "*expert evaluation*". As, in the EU's view, this would not allow the market to adequately keep up with the pace of innovation, the EU kindly invites the Russian authorities to explain why they consider this long review time as satisfactory and necessary. In this regard, the EU would like to mention that the timeline for expert evaluations in the EU is normally between 30 and 60 days, whereas the notified draft seems to establish a timeline of over 300 days.

2. G/TBT/N/RUS/52 - *Draft decision of The Board of the Eurasian Economic Union "On the rules of registration and of the safety, quality, and efficiency inspection of medical devices"*

The EU notes that the proposed date of adoption of the notified draft rules is 5 July and the proposed date of entry into force is 1 January 2017. In this respect, the EU would like to recall that adequate transition times, in particular for registration procedures, are necessary to ensure that access to market is not disrupted. Similar measures of major jurisdictions generally provide transition periods of 3 to 5 years. This would ensure a viable transition for the medical device sector, both because of the technical complexity involved in regulating the sector and the large number of medical devices involved.

Furthermore, the EU would like to point out that the use and recognition of international standards (especially ISO standards) and best practices (notably for the recognition of clinical information), specified in a number of technical guidance documents of GHTF/IMDRF[1], are essential for market access for medical technologies around the world. In this respect, the EU would also like to refer to Article 2.4 of the TBT Agreement which states that "*[w]here technical regulations are required and relevant international standards exist or their completion is imminent, Members shall use them, or the relevant parts of them, as a basis for their technical regulations except when such international standards or relevant parts would be an ineffective or inappropriate means for the fulfilment of the legitimate objectives pursued, for instance because of fundamental climatic or geographical factors or fundamental technological problems*".

[1] GHTF: Global Harmonization Task Force / IMDRF: International Medical Device Regulators Forum

3. G/TBT/N/RUS/53 - *Draft decision of The Board of the Eurasian Economic Union "On the establishment of the common requirements for safety and efficiency of medical devices, for their labelling requirements and operational documentation for them"*

According to the EU's understanding of the notified draft, it seems that all the relevant information regarding "*safety and efficiency*" of a medical device has to be put on the label of that medical device. The EU would be grateful for clarification regarding the information to be included in the "*labelling"* and the information that has to be included in the *"operational documentation"* according to the notified draft. The EU would like to inform the Russian authorities that the EU rules on medical devices establish a clear distinction between the information to be put on the device itself, the information to be put on the label and the information to be included in the instructions for use (see *e.g.* Council Directive 93/42/EEC of 14 July 1993 concerning medical devices, OJ L 169, 12.7.1993, p. 1-43).

4. G/TBT/N/RUS/55 - "On the special production mark of medical devices on the market of the Eurasian Economic Union"

The EU understands that in addition to the registration procedures for medical devices in the framework of the Eurasian Economic Union (EEU), the "recognition by expert reports" is required for each individual EEU Member. The EU would appreciate clarification on whether it has been envisaged that decisions of the Board of the EEU regarding medical devices registered under the new rules could be fully and directly applicable (and enforceable) in all Members of the EEU without such additional "recognition by expert reports"?

The EU would be grateful to receive a response to its questions and to have its comments taken into account.

COMMENTS FROM THE EUROPEAN UNION REGARDING NOTIFICATION

G/TBT/N/RUS/51
Draft decision of The Board of the Eurasian Economic Union "On the establishment of the rules of conducting technical tests of medical devices"

G/TBT/N/RUS/52
Draft decision of The Board of the Eurasian Economic Union "On the rules of registration and of the safety, quality, and efficiency inspection of medical devices"

G/TBT/N/RUS/53
Draft decision of The Board of the Eurasian Economic Union "On the establishment of the common requirements for safety and efficiency of medical devices, for their labelling requirements and operational documentation for them"

G/TBT/N/RUS/55
Draft decision of The Board of the Eurasian Economic Union "On the special production mark of medical devices on the market of the Eurasian Economic Union"

The European Union (EU) would like to thank the Russian authorities for notifying and providing the opportunity to comment on the four above mentioned notified drafts regarding medical devices notified on 10 and 11 May 2016.

The EU welcomes and acknowledges the effort of the Russian authorities regarding common rules in the field of medical devices. In this regard the EU would like to share with the Russian authorities its views on some issues of the notified drafts.

The EU noted that, while the drafts were notified on 10 and 11 May 2016, in all four notification forms the proposed date of adoption and final date for comments are both 5 July 2016. In this respect the EU would like to recall that according to Article 2.9.4 of the TBT Agreement Members shall "*allow reasonable time for other Members to make comments in writing, discuss these comments upon request, and take these written comments and the results of these discussions into account*". Furthermore, the EU would like to underline that according to recommendation G/TBT/1/Rev.12 of 21 January 2015 of the TBT Committee the normal time limit for comments on notifications should be at least 60 days. Two of the notifications, G/TBT/N/RUS/53 and G/TBT/N/RUS/55, were notified under the urgency procedure of Article 2.10.1 of the TBT Agreement. Nevertheless, they also contain the same dates as the other two notifications. The EU would appreciate receiving further clarification on these issues.

1. G/TBT/N/RUS/51 - *Draft decision of The Board of the Eurasian Economic Union "On the establishment of the rules of conducting technical tests of medical devices*"

The EU understands that the notified draft establishes a long review time of dossiers presented in the framework of technical testing for medical devices as part of their "*expert evaluation*". As, in the EU's view, this would not allow the market to adequately keep up with the pace of innovation, the EU kindly invites the Russian authorities to explain why they consider this long review time as satisfactory and necessary. In this regard, the EU would like to mention that the timeline for expert evaluations in the EU is normally between 30 and 60 days, whereas the notified draft seems to establish a timeline of over 300 days.

2. G/TBT/N/RUS/52 - *Draft decision of The Board of the Eurasian Economic Union "On the rules of registration and of the safety, quality, and efficiency inspection of medical devices"*

The EU notes that the proposed date of adoption of the notified draft rules is 5 July and the proposed date of entry into force is 1 January 2017. In this respect, the EU would like to recall that adequate transition times, in particular for registration procedures, are necessary to ensure that access to market is not disrupted. Similar measures of major jurisdictions generally provide transition periods of 3 to 5 years. This would ensure a viable transition for the medical device sector, both because of the technical complexity involved in regulating the sector and the large number of medical devices involved.

Furthermore, the EU would like to point out that the use and recognition of international standards (especially ISO standards) and best practices (notably for the recognition of clinical information), specified in a number of technical guidance documents of GHTF/IMDRF[1], are essential for market access for medical technologies around the world. In this respect, the EU would also like to refer to Article 2.4 of the TBT Agreement which states that "*[w]here technical regulations are required and relevant international standards exist or their completion is imminent, Members shall use them, or the relevant parts of them, as a basis for their technical regulations except when such international standards or relevant parts would be an ineffective or inappropriate means for the fulfilment of the legitimate objectives pursued, for instance because of fundamental climatic or geographical factors or fundamental technological problems*".

[1] GHTF: Global Harmonization Task Force / IMDRF: International Medical Device Regulators Forum

3. G/TBT/N/RUS/53 - *Draft decision of The Board of the Eurasian Economic Union "On the establishment of the common requirements for safety and efficiency of medical devices, for their labelling requirements and operational documentation for them"*

According to the EU's understanding of the notified draft, it seems that all the relevant information regarding "*safety and efficiency*" of a medical device has to be put on the label of that medical device. The EU would be grateful for clarification regarding the information to be included in the "*labelling"* and the information that has to be included in the *"operational documentation"* according to the notified draft. The EU would like to inform the Russian authorities that the EU rules on medical devices establish a clear distinction between the information to be put on the device itself, the information to be put on the label and the information to be included in the instructions for use (see *e.g.* Council Directive 93/42/EEC of 14 July 1993 concerning medical devices, OJ L 169, 12.7.1993, p. 1-43).

4. G/TBT/N/RUS/55 - "On the special production mark of medical devices on the market of the Eurasian Economic Union"

The EU understands that in addition to the registration procedures for medical devices in the framework of the Eurasian Economic Union (EEU), the "recognition by expert reports" is required for each individual EEU Member. The EU would appreciate clarification on whether it has been envisaged that decisions of the Board of the EEU regarding medical devices registered under the new rules could be fully and directly applicable (and enforceable) in all Members of the EEU without such additional "recognition by expert reports"?

The EU would be grateful to receive a response to its questions and to have its comments taken into account.

COMMENTS FROM THE EUROPEAN UNION REGARDING NOTIFICATION

G/TBT/N/RUS/51
Draft decision of The Board of the Eurasian Economic Union "On the establishment of the rules of conducting technical tests of medical devices"

G/TBT/N/RUS/52
Draft decision of The Board of the Eurasian Economic Union "On the rules of registration and of the safety, quality, and efficiency inspection of medical devices"

G/TBT/N/RUS/53
Draft decision of The Board of the Eurasian Economic Union "On the establishment of the common requirements for safety and efficiency of medical devices, for their labelling requirements and operational documentation for them"

G/TBT/N/RUS/55
Draft decision of The Board of the Eurasian Economic Union "On the special production mark of medical devices on the market of the Eurasian Economic Union"

The European Union (EU) would like to thank the Russian authorities for notifying and providing the opportunity to comment on the four above mentioned notified drafts regarding medical devices notified on 10 and 11 May 2016.

The EU welcomes and acknowledges the effort of the Russian authorities regarding common rules in the field of medical devices. In this regard the EU would like to share with the Russian authorities its views on some issues of the notified drafts.

The EU noted that, while the drafts were notified on 10 and 11 May 2016, in all four notification forms the proposed date of adoption and final date for comments are both 5 July 2016. In this respect the EU would like to recall that according to Article 2.9.4 of the TBT Agreement Members shall "*allow reasonable time for other Members to make comments in writing, discuss these comments upon request, and take these written comments and the results of these discussions into account*". Furthermore, the EU would like to underline that according to recommendation G/TBT/1/Rev.12 of 21 January 2015 of the TBT Committee the normal time limit for comments on notifications should be at least 60 days. Two of the notifications, G/TBT/N/RUS/53 and G/TBT/N/RUS/55, were notified under the urgency procedure of Article 2.10.1 of the TBT Agreement. Nevertheless, they also contain the same dates as the other two notifications. The EU would appreciate receiving further clarification on these issues.

1. G/TBT/N/RUS/51 - *Draft decision of The Board of the Eurasian Economic Union "On the establishment of the rules of conducting technical tests of medical devices*"

The EU understands that the notified draft establishes a long review time of dossiers presented in the framework of technical testing for medical devices as part of their "*expert evaluation*". As, in the EU's view, this would not allow the market to adequately keep up with the pace of innovation, the EU kindly invites the Russian authorities to explain why they consider this long review time as satisfactory and necessary. In this regard, the EU would like to mention that the timeline for expert evaluations in the EU is normally between 30 and 60 days, whereas the notified draft seems to establish a timeline of over 300 days.

2. G/TBT/N/RUS/52 - *Draft decision of The Board of the Eurasian Economic Union "On the rules of registration and of the safety, quality, and efficiency inspection of medical devices"*

The EU notes that the proposed date of adoption of the notified draft rules is 5 July and the proposed date of entry into force is 1 January 2017. In this respect, the EU would like to recall that adequate transition times, in particular for registration procedures, are necessary to ensure that access to market is not disrupted. Similar measures of major jurisdictions generally provide transition periods of 3 to 5 years. This would ensure a viable transition for the medical device sector, both because of the technical complexity involved in regulating the sector and the large number of medical devices involved.

Furthermore, the EU would like to point out that the use and recognition of international standards (especially ISO standards) and best practices (notably for the recognition of clinical information), specified in a number of technical guidance documents of GHTF/IMDRF[1], are essential for market access for medical technologies around the world. In this respect, the EU would also like to refer to Article 2.4 of the TBT Agreement which states that "*[w]here technical regulations are required and relevant international standards exist or their completion is imminent, Members shall use them, or the relevant parts of them, as a basis for their technical regulations except when such international standards or relevant parts would be an ineffective or inappropriate means for the fulfilment of the legitimate objectives pursued, for instance because of fundamental climatic or geographical factors or fundamental technological problems*".

[1] GHTF: Global Harmonization Task Force / IMDRF: International Medical Device Regulators Forum

3. G/TBT/N/RUS/53 - *Draft decision of The Board of the Eurasian Economic Union "On the establishment of the common requirements for safety and efficiency of medical devices, for their labelling requirements and operational documentation for them"*

According to the EU's understanding of the notified draft, it seems that all the relevant information regarding "*safety and efficiency*" of a medical device has to be put on the label of that medical device. The EU would be grateful for clarification regarding the information to be included in the "*labelling"* and the information that has to be included in the *"operational documentation"* according to the notified draft. The EU would like to inform the Russian authorities that the EU rules on medical devices establish a clear distinction between the information to be put on the device itself, the information to be put on the label and the information to be included in the instructions for use (see *e.g.* Council Directive 93/42/EEC of 14 July 1993 concerning medical devices, OJ L 169, 12.7.1993, p. 1-43).

4. G/TBT/N/RUS/55 - "On the special production mark of medical devices on the market of the Eurasian Economic Union"

The EU understands that in addition to the registration procedures for medical devices in the framework of the Eurasian Economic Union (EEU), the "recognition by expert reports" is required for each individual EEU Member. The EU would appreciate clarification on whether it has been envisaged that decisions of the Board of the EEU regarding medical devices registered under the new rules could be fully and directly applicable (and enforceable) in all Members of the EEU without such additional "recognition by expert reports"?

The EU would be grateful to receive a response to its questions and to have its comments taken into account.